# Some new perfect polyphase sequences and optimal families

Hong-Yeop Song Yonsei University, KOREA

The 5<sup>th</sup> Sino-Korean International Conference on Coding Theory and Related Topics July 2-6, 2018, Shanghai, China



#### **Polyphase sequences**

Alphabet of N-ary polyphase sequences



when N=5



# **Polyphase sequences**

Alphabet of N-ary polyphase sequences



when N=5

Polyphase sequence representation

• A complex valued sequence

$$e^{j\frac{\pi}{5}}, e^{j\frac{3\pi}{5}}, \pm 1, e^{j\frac{2\pi}{5}}, e^{j\frac{4\pi}{5}}, \dots$$



# **Polyphase sequences**

Alphabet of N-ary polyphase sequences



when N=5

Polyphase sequence representation

• A complex valued sequence



Corresponding phase sequence over the integers modulo 5

⇒ It can be equivalently described by its phase sequence



### Correlation

Let x = {x(n)}<sup>L-1</sup><sub>n=0</sub> and y = {y(n)}<sup>L-1</sup><sub>n=0</sub> be two N-ary sequences of length L, then (periodic) correlation between x and y at time shift τ is

$$C_{x,y}(\tau) = \sum_{n=0}^{L-1} \omega_N^{x(n)} \left( \omega_N^{y(n+\tau)} \right)^* = \sum_{n=0}^{L-1} \omega_N^{x(n)-y(n+\tau)}$$

where  $\omega_N = e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N}}$  is a primitive N-th root of unity.



### Correlation

Let x = {x(n)}<sup>L-1</sup><sub>n=0</sub> and y = {y(n)}<sup>L-1</sup><sub>n=0</sub> be two N-ary sequences of length L, then (periodic) correlation between x and y at time shift τ is

$$C_{x,y}(\tau) = \sum_{n=0}^{L-1} \omega_N^{x(n)} \left( \omega_N^{y(n+\tau)} \right)^* = \sum_{n=0}^{L-1} \omega_N^{x(n)-y(n+\tau)}$$

where  $\omega_N = e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N}}$  is a primitive N-th root of unity.

- It is called autocorrelation if y = x.
- It is called cross-correlation otherwise.



### Correlation

Let x = {x(n)}<sup>L-1</sup><sub>n=0</sub> and y = {y(n)}<sup>L-1</sup><sub>n=0</sub> be two N-ary sequences of length L, then (periodic) correlation between x and y at time shift τ is

$$C_{x,y}(\tau) = \sum_{n=0}^{L-1} \omega_N^{x(n)} \left(\omega_N^{y(n+\tau)}\right)^* = \sum_{n=0}^{L-1} \omega_N^{x(n)-y(n+\tau)}$$

where  $\omega_N = e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N}}$  is a primitive N-th root of unity.

- It is called autocorrelation if y = x.
- It is called cross-correlation otherwise.
- A sequence is referred to a '*perfect sequence*' if its autocorrelation is zero for any shift τ ≠ 0 (mod L).



### Sarwate bound

- Maximum crosscorrelation magnitude of any two perfect sequences of length *L* is greater than or equal to  $\sqrt{L}$ .
  - A pair of two perfect sequences is called an 'optimal pair' if the pair attains Sarwate bound.
  - A set of perfect sequences is called an *optimal family* if any pair of two members in the set attains Sarwate bound.



## Sarwate bound

- Maximum crosscorrelation magnitude of any two perfect sequences of length *L* is greater than or equal to  $\sqrt{L}$ .
  - A pair of two perfect sequences is called an 'optimal pair' if the pair attains Sarwate bound.
  - A set of perfect sequences is called an 'optimal family' if any pair of two members in the set attains Sarwate bound.
- This is only on the max of cross-correlations. Not on the size of the family, which will be an interesting topic of research.



# In this talk...

- A class of *N*-ary **perfect polyphase sequences** of period *N*<sup>2</sup>
- **Properties** of **perfect polyphase sequences** and their optimal families



# In this talk...

- A class of *N*-ary **perfect polyphase sequences** of period *N*<sup>2</sup>
- **Properties** of **perfect polyphase sequences** and their optimal families
- Some constructions for optimal families of N-ary perfect polyphase sequences of period  $N^2$  with respect to Sarwate bound

Earlier...



# History of constructing perfect polyphase sequences





# History of constructing perfect polyphase sequences





#### P1 codes

	N-ary Frank sequence of period $N^2$	_
	(Frank and Zadoff)	
	$\mathcal{I}\begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & \cdots & N-1 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2(N-1) \\ 0 & 3 & 6 & \cdots & 3(N-1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & (N-1) & 2(N-1) & \cdots & (N-1)^2 \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$	
=	$= \mathcal{I} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ \vdots \\ N-1 \end{bmatrix} [0 \ 1 \ 2 \ \cdots \ N-1] \right)$	
=	$= \mathcal{I}\left(\underline{\delta}_{N}^{T}\underline{\delta}_{N}\right)$	

Where  $\mathcal{I}(X)$  stands for the operation that generates a sequences by reading X row-by-row,



#### P1 codes



Where I(X) stands for the operation that generates a sequences by reading X row-by-row,  $\underline{\delta}_N \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & \cdots & N-1 \end{bmatrix}$ , and  $\underline{1}_N = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ Hong-Yeop Song



### Example (N = 5)





# Example (N = 5)







Hong-Yeop Song



Hong-Yeop Song

# **Brief review of two constructions**

#### Suehiro and Hatori 1988

 $\mathcal{U} \triangleq \{u_i \in \mathbb{Z}_N | \gcd(u_i, N) = 1, u_i \not\equiv u_i \text{ if } i \neq j, \gcd(u_i - u_j, N) = 1\}$  $\underline{m}_1, \underline{m}_2, \dots, \underline{m}_{|\mathcal{U}|}$  : **arbitrary** chosen *N*-tuples. Optimal family  $S = \left\{ \mathcal{I}\left(\underline{\delta}_{N}^{T} u_{i} \underline{\delta}_{N} + \underline{1}_{N}^{T} \underline{m}_{i}\right) | u_{i} \in \mathcal{U} \right\}$ **Modulatable sequences** (by Suehiro and Hatori) Mow 1995 (Alltop 1984, N prime)  $\mathcal{U} \triangleq \{ u_i \in \mathbb{Z}_N | \gcd(u_i, N) = 1, u_i \not\equiv u_i \text{ if } i \neq j, \gcd(u_i - u_i, N) = 1 \}$  $\underline{m}_1, \underline{m}_2, \dots, \underline{m}_{|\mathcal{U}|}$  : arbitrary chosen *N*-tuples. Optimal family  $S = \left\{ \mathfrak{D}_d \left( \mathcal{I} \left( \underline{\delta}_N^T \underline{\delta}_N + \underline{1}_N^T \underline{m}_i \right) \right) \middle| d \in \mathcal{U} \right\}$ 

where  $\mathfrak{D}$  is decimation operator.

# Optimal Families of Perfect Polyphase Sequences from Fermat-Quotient Sequences



K.-H Park, H.-Y. Song, D. S. Kim, and Solomon W. Golomb, *IEEE Trans. on Inf. Theory*, Feb. 2016.

# Fermat-Quotient sequence is perfect

#### • Definition. (Fermat-quotient sequence)

For an odd prime p, the Fermat-quotient sequence  $\boldsymbol{q} = \{q(n)\}_{n=0}^{p^2-1}$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is defined by

$$q(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{n^{p-1} - 1}{p} \pmod{p} & \text{if } n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

# Fermat-Quotient sequence is perfect

#### • **Definition.** (Fermat-quotient sequence)

For an odd prime *p*, the Fermat-quotient sequence  $\boldsymbol{q} = \{q(n)\}_{n=0}^{p^2-1}$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is defined by

$$q(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{n^{p-1} - 1}{p} \pmod{p} & \text{if } n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

#### • Theorem.

For any odd prime p, the p-ary Fermat-quotient sequence q of period  $p^2$  is perfect.

# Generators and associated families

• The Fermat quotient sequence has the following structure

$$\mathbb{I}\left(\underline{\delta}_{p}^{T}\underline{g}+\underline{1}_{p}^{T}\underline{m}\right).$$

Example) 
$$\boldsymbol{q} \Leftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0, 4, 2, 3, 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0, 0, 3, 1, 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore, it is a subclass of the generalized Frank sequences.

# Generators and associated families

• The Fermat quotient sequence has the following structure

$$\mathbb{I}\left(\underline{\delta}_{p}^{T}\underline{g}+\underline{1}_{p}^{T}\underline{m}\right).$$

Example) 
$$\boldsymbol{q} \Leftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0, 4, 2, 3, 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0, 0, 3, 1, 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Therefore, it is a subclass of the generalized Frank sequences.
- We call *g* a **generator**.

# Generators and associated families

• The Fermat quotient sequence has the following structure

$$\mathcal{I}\left(\underline{\delta}_{p}^{T}\underline{g}+\underline{1}_{p}^{T}\underline{m}\right).$$

Example) 
$$\boldsymbol{q} \Leftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0, 4, 2, 3, 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0, 0, 3, 1, 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Therefore, it is a subclass of the generalized Frank sequences.
- We call *g* a **generator**.
- We call a collection of all the possible sequences for a fixed <u>g</u> an associated family of <u>g</u> and denote it by S(<u>g</u>). That is, S(<u>g</u>) is the set of all the modulations of I(<u>S</u><sup>T</sup><sub>p</sub><u>g</u>)



# In general...

- Definition. (Perfect generators)
   A generator <u>g</u> is a perfect generator
   if all the sequences s ∈ S(g) are perfect.
- Known fact: All the generators of the **generalized Frank sequences** are perfect generators
  - Is there any other perfect generator?



# In general...

- Definition. (Perfect generators)
   A generator <u>g</u> is a perfect generator
   if all the sequences s ∈ S(g) are perfect.
- Known fact: All the generators of the **generalized Frank sequences** are perfect generators
  - Is there any other perfect generator?

• **Theorem. (Perfect generator construction)** The followings are equivalent.

- b) *g* is perfect generator.
- 2) *g* is a permutation of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .



# In general...

- Definition. (Perfect generators)
   A generator <u>g</u> is a perfect generator
   if all the sequences s ∈ S(g) are perfect.
- Known fact: All the generators of the **generalized Frank sequences** are perfect generators
  - Is there any other perfect generator?

• **Theorem. (Perfect generator construction)** The followings are equivalent.

- l) *g* is perfect generator.
- 2) *g* is a permutation of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

For odd prime length, there is no other perfect generators!



# **Transformations**

#### • Definition.

Let g be a generator of length p.

- 1) (cyclic shifts) Shifting g cyclically to the left by  $\tau$ .
- 2) (constant multiples) multiplying all the elements of  $\underline{g}$  by an integer  $u \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .
- **3)** (Decimations) Decimating  $\underline{g}$  by an integer  $d \neq 0 \pmod{p}$ .
- With an abuse, we use these transformations for sequences.

#### • Corollary.

Let g be a perfect generator of length p.

- 1) Any constant multiple of g is also a perfect generator.
- 2) Any decimation of  $\underline{g}$  is also a perfect generator.

A given **perfect generator** *g* of odd prime length *p* 

$$\mathcal{U} = \{u_i | \operatorname{gcd}(u_i, p) = 1 = \operatorname{gcd}(u_i - u_j, p), i \neq j\}.$$

A given **perfect generator**  $\underline{g}$  of odd prime length p  $\mathcal{U} = \{u_i | \operatorname{gcd}(u_i, p) = 1 = \operatorname{gcd}(u_i - u_j, p), i \neq j\}.$ Make associated families  $\mathcal{S}(u_1\underline{g})$   $\mathcal{S}(u_2\underline{g})$ 





Hong-Yeop Song
## Optimal family of perfect sequences



Hong-Yeop Song



Hong-Yeop Song



## **Optimal generators**

• **Definition. (optimal generators)** A (perfect) generator  $\underline{g}$  is a **optimal generator** if any pair of  $x \in S(\underline{mg})$  and  $y \in S(\underline{ng})$  is an **optimal pair** for any non-zero  $\underline{m}, n$  with  $m \not\equiv n \pmod{p}$ .



## **Optimal generators**

- **Definition. (optimal generators)** A (perfect) generator  $\underline{g}$  is a **optimal generator** if any pair of  $x \in S(\underline{mg})$  and  $y \in S(\underline{ng})$  is an **optimal pair** for any nonzero m, n with  $m \not\equiv n \pmod{p}$ .
- In the previous, we indeed showed that **the generator of Fermat-quotient sequence is an optimal generator.**
- And, from the result due to Suehiro and Hatori, we know that the generator of the original Frank sequence is also an optimal generator.
- Is there any other optimal generators of odd prime length?



## **Algebraic construction**

For an odd prime p, let  $\underline{g}_{m,\tau,\kappa}$  be a p-tuple over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ where its n-th term, denoted by  $g(n; m, \tau, \kappa)$ , is given by  $g(n; m, \tau, \kappa) \equiv m(n + \tau)^{\kappa} \pmod{p}$ where  $\kappa$  is an integer coprime to p - 1,  $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , and  $\tau$  is an integer.



## Theorem.

For an odd prime p, let  $\underline{g}_{m,\tau,\kappa}$  be a p-tuple over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ where its n-th term, denoted by  $g(n; m, \tau, \kappa)$ , is given by  $g(n; m, \tau, \kappa) \equiv m(n + \tau)^{\kappa} \pmod{p}$ where  $\kappa$  is an integer coprime to p - 1,  $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , and  $\tau$  is an integer. Then, g is an optimal generator of length p



## Theorem.

For an odd prime p, let  $\underline{g}_{m,\tau,\kappa}$  be a p-tuple over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ where its n-th term, denoted by  $g(n; m, \tau, \kappa)$ , is given by  $g(n; m, \tau, \kappa) \equiv m(n + \tau)^{\kappa} \pmod{p}$ where  $\kappa$  is an integer coprime to p - 1,  $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , and  $\tau$  is an integer. Then, g is an optimal generator of length p.

• Two optimal generators  $\underline{g}_{m,\tau,\kappa}$  and  $\underline{g}_{m',\tau',\kappa'}$  are equivalent if and only if  $\kappa \equiv \kappa' \pmod{p-1}$ .



## Theorem.

For an odd prime p, let  $\underline{g}_{m,\tau,\kappa}$  be a p-tuple over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ where its n-th term, denoted by  $g(n; m, \tau, \kappa)$ , is given by  $g(n; m, \tau, \kappa) \equiv m(n + \tau)^{\kappa} \pmod{p}$ where  $\kappa$  is an integer coprime to p - 1,  $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , and  $\tau$  is an integer. Then, g is an optimal generator of length p.

- Two optimal generators  $\underline{g}_{m,\tau,\kappa}$  and  $\underline{g}_{m',\tau',\kappa'}$  are equivalent if and only if  $\kappa \equiv \kappa' \pmod{p-1}$ .
- Therefore, only  $\phi(p-1)$  inequivalent optimal generators of length p.



## **Inequivalent** optimal generators with $m = 1, \tau = 0$

p	optimal generators (representatives)		
3	$\{0,1,2\}$ (Frank) (FQ)	1	
5	$\{0,1,2,3,4\}$ (Frank)	1	
	$\{0,1,3,2,4\}$ (FQ)	3	
7	$\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6\}$ (Frank)	1	
	{0,1,4,5,2,3,6} <b>(FQ)</b>	5	
11	$\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10\}$ (Frank)	1	
	$\{0,1,6,4,3,9,2,8,7,5,10\}$ (FQ)	9	
	$\{0,1,7,9,5,3,8,6,2,4,10\}$	7	
	{0,1,8,5,9,4,7,2,6,3,10}	3	
13	$\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12\}$ (Frank)	1	
	{0,1,6,9,10,5,2,11,8,3,4,7,12}	5	
	{0,1,7,9,10,8,11,2,5,3,4,6,12} (FQ)	11	
	{0,1,11,3,4,8,7,6,5,9,10,2,12}	7	

## A construction of odd length generators for optimal families of perfect sequences



M. K. Song and H.-Y. Song, *IEEE Trans. on Inf. Theory* (April. 2018, Special Issue)

## Perfect generators of any length

• We now extend the previous results by considering

$$\mathcal{I}\left(\underline{\delta}_{N}^{T}\underline{g}+\underline{1}_{N}^{T}\underline{m}\right)$$
,

where *N* is an odd integer and  $g, \underline{m}$  are of length *N*.

# Perfect generators of any length

• We now extend the previous results by considering  $\mathcal{I}\left(\underline{\delta}_{N}^{T}\underline{g} + \underline{1}_{N}^{T}\underline{m}\right),$ 

where *N* is an odd integer and  $g, \underline{m}$  are of length *N*.

Definition. (Perfect generators)
 A generator <u>g</u> of length N is a perfect generator
 if any sequence in its associated family S(g) is perfect.

# Perfect generators of any length

• We now extend the previous results by considering  $\mathcal{I}\left(\underline{\delta}_{N}^{T}\underline{g} + \underline{1}_{N}^{T}\underline{m}\right)$ ,

where *N* is an odd integer and  $g, \underline{m}$  are of length *N*.

- Definition. (Perfect generators)
   A generator <u>g</u> of length N is a perfect generator
   if any sequence in its associated family S(g) is perfect.
- Obviously, a generator of any *N*-ary generalized Frank sequences of period  $N^2$  is a perfect generator.

# Optimal generators of odd length

Definition. (optimal generators of odd lengths) A (perfect) generator <u>g</u> is a optimal generator if any pair of x ∈ S(<u>g</u>) and y ∈ S(u<u>g</u>) is an optimal pair for any u such that both u and u – 1 are coprime to N.

# Optimal generators of odd length

Definition. (optimal generators of odd lengths) A (perfect) generator <u>g</u> is a optimal generator if any pair of x ∈ S(<u>g</u>) and y ∈ S(u<u>g</u>) is an optimal pair for any u such that both u and u – 1 are coprime to N.

• Non-existence of an **even-length optimal generator** can be proved easily.

## **Optimal family construction**



Hong-Yeop Song



Generators of length p(or odd N) over  $Z_p$  (or  $Z_N$ )

Associate polyphase sequences of length  $p^2$  (or odd  $N^2$ ) over  $Z_p$  (or  $Z_N$ )

Hamming correlation properties

**Complex root-of-unity** correlation properties

## Generator and their associated family

**Generator perspective** (Hamming correlation) Sequences in associated families (correlation)

A generator <u>g</u> is an *N*-ary vector of length *N*   $S(\underline{g})$  is a set of interleaved sequences  $I(\underline{\delta}_{N}^{T}\underline{g} + \underline{1}_{N}^{T}\underline{m})$  of length  $N^{2}$ 

## Generator and their associated family

**Generator perspective** (Hamming correlation) Sequences in associated families (correlation)

S(g) is a set of interleaved sequences

 $\mathcal{I}\left(\underline{\delta}_{N}^{T}g + \underline{1}_{N}^{T}\underline{m}\right)$  of length  $N^{2}$ 

A generator <u>g</u> is an *N*-ary vector of length *N* 

 $\Leftrightarrow$ 

Any member of  $S(\underline{g})$  is a perfect sequence

 $\underline{g}$  is a perfect generator iff Hamming correlation of g is perfect

Hong-Yeop Song

## Generator and their associated family

**Generator perspective** (Hamming correlation)

A generator <u>g</u> is an N-ary vector of length N Sequences in associated families (correlation)

 $S(\underline{g})$  is a set of interleaved sequences  $I(\underline{\delta}_{N}^{T}\underline{g} + \underline{1}_{N}^{T}\underline{m})$  of length  $N^{2}$ 

 $\underline{g}$  is a perfect generator iff Hamming correlation of g is perfect Any member of  $S(\underline{g})$  is a perfect sequence

<u>*g*</u> is an optimal generator iff Hamming correlation of <u>*g*</u> and <u>*ug*</u> is optimal for *u* and u - 1 coprime to *N* 

 $S(\underline{g})$  and  $S(u\underline{g})$  provide an optimal pair of perfect sequences for u and u - 1 coprime to N

# An **optimal generator** of length *MK* from an **optimal generator** of length *K*

### • Theorem.

Let N = MK be an odd positive integer. If  $\underline{h}$  is an optimal generator of length K, then the N-tuple  $\underline{g}$  of size  $M \times K$  given by

$$\mathcal{I}\left(\lambda K \underline{\delta}_{M}^{T} \underline{1}_{K} + \underline{1}_{M}^{T} (\underline{h} + K \underline{\alpha})\right),$$

is also an optimal generator, where

- $\lambda$  be a positive integer co-prime to *N*, and
- $\underline{\alpha}$  be a *K*-tuple over  $\mathbb{Z}_M$ .

# An **optimal generator** of length *MK* from an **optimal generator** of length *K*

## • Theorem.

Let N = MK be an odd positive integer. If  $\underline{h}$  is an optimal generator of length K, then the N-tuple  $\underline{g}$  of size  $M \times K$  given by

$$\mathcal{I}\left(\lambda K \underline{\delta}_{M}^{T} \underline{1}_{K} + \underline{1}_{M}^{T} (\underline{h} + K \underline{\alpha})\right),$$

is also an optimal generator, where

- $\lambda$  be a positive integer co-prime to *N*, and
- $\underline{\alpha}$  be a *K*-tuple over  $\mathbb{Z}_M$ .

## Recall that we already have optimal generators of odd prime length!

Hong-Yeop Song



# Array form in detail

# $\frac{\lambda K \underline{\delta}_{M}^{T} \underline{1}_{K} + \underline{1}_{M}^{T} (\underline{h} + K \underline{\alpha})}{K \underline{\delta}_{M}^{T} \underline{1}_{K} + \underline{1}_{M}^{T} (\underline{h} + K \underline{\alpha})}$



# Array form in detail

# $\frac{\lambda K \underline{\delta}_{M}^{T} \underline{1}_{K} + \underline{1}_{M}^{T} (\underline{h} + K \underline{\alpha})}{= \lambda K \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ M - 1 \end{bmatrix}} \underbrace{[1, 1, \dots, 1]}_{K \text{ times}} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} (\underline{h} + K \underline{\alpha})$

#### Proof can be found in the paper



• K=3, N=9, and 
$$\underline{h} = [0,1,2]$$

• 
$$\lambda K \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \left( \underline{h} + K \underline{\alpha} \right)$$



• K=3, N=9, and 
$$\underline{h} = [0,1,2]$$

• 
$$\lambda K \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \ 1 \ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \underline{h} + K \underline{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$$
  
=  $\lambda K \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \ 1 \ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \ 1 \ 2 \end{bmatrix} + K \underline{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$ 



• K=3, N=9, and 
$$\underline{h} = [0,1,2]$$

• 
$$\lambda K \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \ 1 \ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \underline{h} + K \underline{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$$
  

$$= \lambda K \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \ 1 \ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \ 1 \ 2 \end{bmatrix} + K \underline{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \lambda 3 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 3 \underline{\alpha} \\ 3 \underline{\alpha} \\ 3 \underline{\alpha} \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$



• K=3, N=9, and 
$$\underline{h} = [0,1,2]$$

$$\lambda K \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1\\2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \ 1 \ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix} \left( \underline{h} + K \underline{\alpha} \right)$$
$$= \lambda K \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1\\2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \ 1 \ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 \ 1 \ 2 \end{bmatrix} + K \underline{\alpha} \right)$$
$$= \lambda 3 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0\\1 & 1 & 1\\2 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} + \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2\\0 & 1 & 2\\0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 3 \underline{\alpha}\\3 \underline{\alpha}\\3 \underline{\alpha}\\3 \underline{\alpha} \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

• Use  $\underline{\alpha} = [0 \ 0 \ 0]$  and  $\lambda = 1$ 

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 \\ 6 & 6 & 6 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 6 & 7 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$



• K=3, N=9, and 
$$\underline{h} = [0,1,2]$$

$$\lambda K \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1\\2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \ 1 \ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \underline{h} + K \underline{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \lambda K \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1\\2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \ 1 \ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \ 1 \ 2 \end{bmatrix} + K \underline{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \lambda 3 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0\\1 & 1 & 1\\2 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2\\0 & 1 & 2\\0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 3\underline{\alpha}\\3\underline{\alpha}\\3\underline{\alpha}\\3\underline{\alpha} \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$

• Use  $\underline{\alpha} = [0 \ 0 \ 0]$  and  $\lambda = 1$ 

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 \\ 6 & 6 & 6 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 6 & 7 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$
  
• Finally,  $I\left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 6 & 7 & 8 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$ 



## Example) N = 9 and p = 3

## **3 new optimal generators!**



## Some equivalence

#### Input perspective





## Some equivalence





## **Optimal family construction**



Hong-Yeop Song



## Example) N = 15 and p = 3, 5

<u>h</u>	<u>g</u>				
	$[0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14] \leftarrow t$	he origination	al Fra	nk sequence	
[0, 1, 2, 3, 4]	[0, 1, 2, 3, 9, 5, 6, 7, 8, 14, 10, 11, 12, 13, 4]			-	
	[0, 1, 2, 8, 9, 5, 6, 7, 13, 14, 10, 11, 12, 3, 4]				
	[0, 1, 2, 8, 14, 5, 6, 7, 13, 4, 10, 11, 12, 3, 9]		1		
	[0, 1, 7, 8, 4, 5, 6, 12, 13, 9, 10, 11, 2, 3, 14]		<u>n</u>	<u>g</u>	
	[0, 1, 7, 8, 9, 5, 6, 12, 13, 14, 10, 11, 2, 3, 4]			[0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14]	
	[0, 1, 7, 8, 14, 5, 6, 12, 13, 4, 10, 11, 2, 3, 9]			[0, 1, 5, 3, 4, 8, 6, 7, 11, 9, 10, 14, 12, 13, 2]	
	[0, 1, 7, 13, 4, 5, 6, 12, 3, 9, 10, 11, 2, 8, 14]				
	[0, 1, 7, 13, 9, 5, 6, 12, 3, 14, 10, 11, 2, 8, 4]	[0, 1, 2]	[0, 4, 5, 3, 7, 8, 6, 10, 11, 9, 13, 14, 12, 1, 2]		
	[0, 1, 7, 13, 14, 5, 6, 12, 3, 4, 10, 11, 2, 8, 9]				
	[0, 6, 7, 8, 9, 5, 11, 12, 13, 14, 10, 1, 2, 3, 4]			[0, 4, 8, 3, 7, 11, 6, 10, 14, 9, 13, 2, 12, 1, 5]	
	[0, 6, 7, 8, 14, 5, 11, 12, 13, 4, 10, 1, 2, 3, 9]			$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 4 & 14 & 3 & 7 & 2 & 6 & 10 & 5 & 9 & 13 & 8 & 12 & 1 & 11 \end{bmatrix}$	
	[0, 6, 7, 13, 14, 5, 11, 12, 3, 4, 10, 1, 2, 8, 9]			[[[0, 1, 11, 0, 1, 2, 0, 10, 0, 0, 10, 0, 12, 1, 11]	
	[0, 6, 12, 13, 9, 5, 11, 2, 3, 14, 10, 1, 7, 8, 4]				
[0, 1, 3, 2, 4]	[0, 1, 3, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 7, 9, 10, 11, 13, 12, 14]			4 New optimal generators	
	[0, 1, 3, 2, 9, 5, 6, 8, 7, 14, 10, 11, 13, 12, 4]				
	[0, 1, 3, 2, 14, 5, 6, 8, 7, 4, 10, 11, 13, 12, 9]				
	[0, 1, 3, 7, 4, 5, 6, 8, 12, 9, 10, 11, 13, 2, 14]	,4,5,6,8,12,9,10,11,13,2,14]			
	[0, 1, 3, 7, 9, 5, 6, 8, 12, 14, 10, 11, 13, 2, 4]	$\sim 427$ New optimal generators			
	[0, 1, 3, 7, 14, 5, 6, 8, 12, 4, 10, 11, 13, 2, 9]				
	[0, 1, 3, 12, 4, 5, 6, 8, 2, 9, 10, 11, 13, 7, 14]				
	[0, 1, 3, 12, 9, 5, 6, 8, 2, 14, 10, 11, 13, 7, 4]				
	[0, 1, 3, 12, 14, 5, 6, 8, 2, 4, 10, 11, 13, 7, 9]				
	[0, 1, 8, 12, 4, 5, 6, 13, 2, 9, 10, 11, 3, 7, 14]				
	[0, 6, 3, 2, 9, 5, 11, 8, 7, 14, 10, 1, 13, 12, 4]				
	[0, 6, 3, 2, 14, 5, 11, 8, 7, 4, 10, 1, 13, 12, 9]		21	new ontimal generators!	
	[0, 6, 3, 7, 9, 5, 11, 8, 12, 14, 10, 1, 13, 2, 4]		51	new optimal generators.	
	[0, 6, 13, 2, 9, 5, 11, 3, 7, 14, 10, 1, 8, 12, 4]	-			

# Interesting questions (concluding)

1. For an odd *N*, find the **maximum number of inequivalent** optimal generators of length *N*.

# Interesting questions (concluding)

- 1. For an odd *N*, find the **maximum number of inequivalent** optimal generators of length *N*.
- 2. Consider a positive odd integer *N*, which has two distinct prime factors, i.e.,

$$N=p_1M_1=p_2M_2.$$

What is **the relationship** between two optimal generators of length N which come from optimal generators of length  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  respectively?
## Interesting questions (concluding)

- 1. For an odd *N*, find the **maximum number of inequivalent** optimal generators of length *N*.
- 2. Consider a positive odd integer *N*, which has two distinct prime factors, i.e.,

$$N=p_1M_1=p_2M_2.$$

What is **the relationship** between two optimal generators of length N which come from optimal generators of length  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  respectively?

3. Can we obtain all the optimal generators of odd length *N* by using the proposed construction? If not, how can we get them?